

Great Western Railway
Asst. Superintendents Office
London, Ontario.

June 17th 1874.

S. C. Hendrickson Esq
My Dear Sir

While at

Windsor last week, I
am sorry to say that
I was quite unable to
get the Electric Semaphore
to work properly —

The battery was put in
good order, & worked the
distant semaphore all
right, but I could not
make the "repeating" arrange-
ment work the intended

at all - or in other words -
I could not get an adjustment
upon the speaker that
would cause it to ~~close short~~
Circuit when "resistance" came
in - I could only account
for this by supposing that
"lightning" might have been
into the "resistance coil" &
made a short Circuit thro'
it, but I did not have
time to ascertain whether
this theory was correct - I
go to Moulins again today
and will try again to get
it to work, but am afraid
there is something wrong
which I have as yet failed
to discover as I have entirely
unable to discover the slightest
variation in Circuit when the

Resistance was introduced - If you can suggest anything in this connection I shall be glad to hear from you

After your departure Mr. Miss seemed to take the Sydenham Semaphores under his charge and issued a Circular putting them into practical operation - They soon after failed, & he then issued another Circular pronouncing them not reliable & ordered them to be regarded. I did not know of the first Circular until just as the second issued, and consequently had no chance to see whether they were having a fair test or not. You have probably heard from Mr. Black as to this & this present edition - I incline to the opinion that you have endeavored to work too nearly with the minimum of both "battery" and "Weight" Poard and that these should be considerably increased - I find the Windes Semaphore occasionally binding so that the Weight is not sufficient - Reliability in these signals is all important - A few Ozs of battery or pounds of lead are neither here nor there -
Yrs truly
W. Woodford