

College Hill Mass. Feb 10th.

Mr. F. C. Pope

Dear sir

I rec'd your
little dated, Feb. 8th last night.
I am much obliged to you for
your counsel about the tele-
phone business. I had hoped
that it might be possible for you
to take an interest in the foreign
introduction of my inventions
in this direction, but I didn't
know the precariousness of an
interest in foreign countries.

Could you say whether or not
you think it would be worth
the while to attempt to find
a party in Canada to introduce
the Sammolu?

as much as you think it
not worth a patent or rather
that a patent couldn't be
obtained for it, I guess I shall
stop further work upon that
kind of telephone tho' I thought
when it was proved as it
was when Mr. Buck was in
A.Y. that it could be used
on long lines that a patent
could be obtained for it because
in that manner of use new
and useful results could be
obtained from it, I refer
to the modified Reiss. There is
one thing about it tho' which
perhaps you have already
considered viz, that Reiss' telephone
had a vibrating membrane
carrying the platinum
connections, while mine has

a stiff metallic plate which is also a conductor and its vibrations exactly reproduce those in the air which is not the case with such a membrane as Reiss used. This worked so well that I proposed to christen it with a new name because it would do what Reiss' certainly could not do - I called it an Electrophone - which name - how would it do for the Saenger telephone?!

One other thing, of scientific interest viz., When a current of electricity or of steam is made to traverse a spiral conductor it reacts upon either an iron core to make it a magnet or to induce electrical currents in a closed conductor. Thus - ~~if~~ let the spiral represent a small bore steam pipe with a bar of iron in it, if high pressure steam be blown through the tube the iron becomes

magnetic precisely the same as if
a current of electricity was traveling
the spiral in the same direction. Now
what I wanted to inquire about is this.
Isn't it likely that the current that travels
spirally round the cable core make the
most of the trouble in the cable?
That is if the wire of the armor be
twisted about the strand it would
interfere with the rapid working of
a ^{long} cable long enough to which would
be obviated by making the armor of
the cable longitudinal instead of spiral.
Is it in the least probable? I don't
want to overwade upon your already
filled time at all but if the obviates
any bearing at all upon cable working
it is important. The Bell Co. has published
warnings against any using the telephone in
N.C. and say that Bell has the only patent on
a telephone in the U.S. I notice also that
the H.U.H. Co. speak of Bells, Edwards, Gray
& Phelps telephones but know little hear
of my own! Yours truly N.C. Dolbear